

S/N: 10/525,745

Art Unit: 2416

Att. Dkt. 2823/23

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant:

Onn Haran

Serial No.: 10/525,745

Filed: February 28, 2005

For: OPERATION METHODS IN AN  
ETHERNET PASSIVE OPTICAL  
NETWORK THAT INCLUDES A  
NETWORK UNIT WITH  
MULTIPLE ENTITIES

Examiner: Nguyen, Phuonchau Ba

§ Confirmation No: 2037

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

Group Art Unit: 2416

Attorney Docket: 2823/23

Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FAX: 001 571 273 3148

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Sir:

In terms of Interview subjects, we wish to discuss the rejection of Claim 1 and repeat our argument that "*Kramer does not deal with registration and/or an auto discovery protocol of the ONUs in the network at all. The word "Registration" does not appear at all in his description. REQUEST 402 in his FIG. 4 is not a registration request (REGISTER\_REQ) message and cannot be used for this purpose*".

The Examiner did not address the issue of registration request in the rejection. We submit that "request" and "registration request" are totally different features.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark M. Friedman  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 33,883

Date: June 4, 2009